

Parabolic nef currents on hyperkähler manifolds

Misha Verbitsky¹

Abstract

Let M be a compact, holomorphically symplectic Kähler manifold, and η a $(1,1)$ -current which is nef (a limit of Kähler forms). Assume that the cohomology class of η is parabolic, that is, its top power vanishes. We prove that all Lelong sets of η are coisotropic. When M is generic, this is used to show that all Lelong numbers of η vanish. We prove that any hyperkähler manifold with $\text{Pic}(M) = \mathbb{Z}$ has non-trivial coisotropic subvarieties, if a generator of $\text{Pic}(M)$ is parabolic.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Hyperkähler manifolds	1
1.2	The Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form	2
1.3	The hyperkähler SYZ conjecture	3
1.4	Lelong numbers and hyperkähler geometry	5
2	Hyperkähler geometry: preliminary results	7
2.1	The structure of a Kähler cone	7
2.2	Subvarieties in generic hyperkähler manifolds	8
2.3	Cohomology of hyperkähler manifolds	10
3	Cohomology classes dominated by a nef class	11
3.1	Positive forms and positive currents	11
3.2	Regularization for nef currents	13
3.3	Cohomology classes dominated by a nef current	15
3.4	η -coisotropic subvarieties and cohomology classes	16

1 Introduction

1.1 Hyperkähler manifolds

Definition 1.1: A hyperkähler manifold is a compact, Kähler, holomorphically symplectic manifold.

Definition 1.2: A hyperkähler manifold M is called **simple** if $H^1(M) = 0$, $H^{2,0}(M) = \mathbb{C}$.

¹The work is partially supported by the grant RFBR for support of scientific schools NSh-3036.2008.2 and RFBR grant 09-01-00242-a

Theorem 1.3: (Bogomolov’s Decomposition Theorem, [Bo1], [Bes]). Any hyperkähler manifold admits a finite covering, which is a product of a torus and several simple hyperkähler manifolds. ■

Remark 1.4: Further on, all hyperkähler manifolds are silently assumed to be simple.

A note on terminology. Speaking of hyperkähler manifolds, people usually mean one of two different notions. One either speaks of holomorphically symplectic Kähler manifold, or of a manifold with a *hyperkähler structure*, that is, a triple of complex structures satisfying quaternionic relations and parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. The equivalence (in compact case) between these two notions is provided by the Yau’s solution of Calabi-Yau conjecture ([Bes]). Throughout this paper, we use the complex algebraic geometry point of view, where “hyperkähler” is synonymous with “Kähler holomorphically symplectic”, in lieu of the differential-geometric approach. To avoid the terminological confusion, we tried not mention quaternionic structures (except Subsection 2.2, where it was impossible to avoid). The reader may check [Bes] for an introduction to hyperkähler geometry from the differential-geometric point of view.

Notice also that we included compactness in our definition of a hyperkähler manifold. In the differential-geometric setting, one does not usually assume that the manifold is compact.

1.2 The Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form

Theorem 1.5: ([F]) Let $\eta \in H^2(M)$, and $\dim M = 2n$, where M is hyperkähler. Then $\int_M \eta^{2n} = q(\eta, \eta)^n$, for some integer quadratic form q on $H^2(M)$. ■

Definition 1.6: This form is called **Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form**. It is defined by this relation uniquely, up to a sign. The sign is determined from the following formula (Bogomolov, Beauville; [Bea], [Hu1], 23.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda q(\eta, \eta) = (n/2) \int_X \eta \wedge \eta \wedge \Omega^{n-1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-1} - \\ - (1-n) \frac{\left(\int_X \eta \wedge \Omega^{n-1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^n \right) \left(\int_X \eta \wedge \Omega^n \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-1} \right)}{\int_M \Omega^n \wedge \overline{\Omega}^n} \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is the holomorphic symplectic form, and λ a positive constant.

Remark 1.7: The form q has signature $(b_2 - 3, 3)$. It is negative definite on primitive forms, and positive definite on the space $\langle \Omega, \overline{\Omega}, \omega \rangle$ where ω is a Kähler form, as seen from the following formula

$$\mu q(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \int_X \omega^{2n-2} \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 - \frac{2n-2}{(2n-1)^2} \frac{\int_X \omega^{2n-1} \wedge \eta_1 \cdot \int_X \omega^{2n-1} \wedge \eta_2}{\int_M \omega^{2n}}, \quad \mu > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

(see e. g. [V4], Theorem 6.1, or [Hu1], Corollary 23.9).

Definition 1.8: Let $[\eta] \in H^{1,1}(M)$ be a real $(1,1)$ -class on a hyperkähler manifold M . We say that $[\eta]$ is **parabolic** if $q([\eta], [\eta]) = 0$. A line bundle L is called **parabolic** if $c_1(L)$ is parabolic.

The present paper is a study of algebro-geometric properties of parabolic bundles and cohomology classes, in hope to find criteria for effectivity.

1.3 The hyperkähler SYZ conjecture

Theorem 1.9: (D. Matsushita, see [Ma1]). Let $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ be a surjective holomorphic map from a hyperkähler manifold M to X , with $0 < \dim X < \dim M$. Then $\dim X = 1/2 \dim M$, and the fibers of π are holomorphic Lagrangian (this means that the symplectic form vanishes on the fibers).¹

Definition 1.10: Such a map is called a **holomorphic Lagrangian fibration**.

Remark 1.11: The base of π is conjectured to be rational. J.-M. Hwang ([Hw]) proved that $X \cong \mathbb{C}P^n$, if it is smooth. D. Matsushita ([Ma2]) proved that it has the same rational cohomology as $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

Remark 1.12: The base of π has a natural flat connection on the smooth locus of π . The combinatorics of this connection can be used to determine the topology of M ([KZ], [G]),

¹Here, as elsewhere, we silently assume that the hyperkähler manifold M is simple.

Remark 1.13: Matsushita's theorem is implied by the following formula of Fujiki. Let M be a hyperkähler manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 2n$, and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n} \in H^2(M)$ cohomology classes. Then

$$\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \dots = \frac{1}{2n!} \sum_{\sigma} q(\eta_{\sigma_1} \eta_{\sigma_2}) q(\eta_{\sigma_3} \eta_{\sigma_4}) \dots q(\eta_{\sigma_{2n-1}} \eta_{\sigma_{2n}}) \quad (1.2)$$

with the sum taken over all permutations. An algebraic argument (see e.g. Corollary 2.15) allows to deduce from this formula that for any non-zero $\eta \in H^2(M)$, one would have $\eta^n \neq 0$, and $\eta^{n+1} = 0$, if $q(\eta, \eta) = 0$, and $\eta^{2n} \neq 0$ otherwise. Applying this to the pullback $\pi^* \omega_X$ of the Kähler class from X , we immediately obtain that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ or $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 2n$. Indeed, $\omega_X^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X} \neq 0$ and $\omega_X^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X + 1} = 0$.

Definition 1.14: Let (M, ω) be a Calabi-Yau manifold, Ω the holomorphic volume form, and $Z \subset M$ a real analytic subvariety, Lagrangian with respect to ω . If $\Omega|_Z$ is proportional to the Riemannian volume form, Z is called **special Lagrangian** (SpLag).

The special Lagrangian varieties were defined in [HL] by Harvey and Lawson, who proved that they minimize the Riemannian volume in their cohomology class. This implies, in particular, that their moduli are finite-dimensional. In [McL], McLean studied deformations of non-singular special Lagrangian subvarieties and showed that they are unobstructed.

In [SYZ], Strominger-Yau-Zaslow tried to explain the mirror symmetry phenomenon using the special Lagrangian fibrations. They conjectured that any Calabi-Yau manifold admits a Lagrangian fibration with special Lagrangian fibers. Taking its dual fibration, one obtains “the mirror dual” Calabi-Yau manifold.

Remark 1.15: It is easy to see that a holomorphic Lagrangian subvariety of a hyperkähler manifold (M, I) is special Lagrangian on (M, J) , where (I, J, K) is a quaternionic structure associated with the hyperkähler structure on M (Subsection 2.2). Therefore, existence of holomorphic Lagrangian fibrations implies existence of special Lagrangian fibrations postulated by Strominger-Yau-Zaslow.

Definition 1.16: A line bundle is called **semiample** if L^N is generated by its holomorphic sections, which have no common zeros.

Remark 1.17: From semiampleness it obviously follows that L is nef. Indeed, let $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(L^N)^*$ be the standard map. Since sections of L have no common zeros, π is holomorphic. Then $L \cong \pi^*\mathcal{O}(1)$, and the curvature of L is a pullback of the Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}P^n$. However, the converse is false: a nef bundle is not necessarily semiample (see e.g. [DPS1, Example 1.7]).

Remark 1.18: Let $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic Lagrangian fibration, and ω_X a Kähler class on X . Then $\eta := \pi^*\omega_X$ is semiample and parabolic. The converse is also true, by Matsushita’s theorem: if L is semiample and parabolic, L induces a Lagrangian fibration. This is the only known source of non-trivial special Lagrangian fibrations.

Conjecture 1.19: (Hyperkähler SYZ conjecture) Let L be a parabolic nef line bundle on a hyperkähler manifold. Then L is semiample.

Remark 1.20: This conjecture was stated by many people (Tyurin, Bogomolov, Hassett-Tschinkel, Huybrechts, Sawon); please see [Saw] for an interesting and historically important discussion, and [V5] for details and reference.

Remark 1.21: The SYZ conjecture can be seen as a hyperkaehler version of “abundance conjecture” (see e.g. [DPS2], 2.7.2).

1.4 Lelong numbers and hyperkähler geometry

In [V5], it was shown that any parabolic line bundle L with a smooth metric of semipositive curvature is $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective (this means that $c_1(L)$ is represented by a rational divisor). Further results in this direction require detailed study of singularities of positive currents on hyperkähler manifolds. The present paper is an attempt to understand these singularities.

Let $[\eta]$ be a nef cohomology class. Using weak compactness of positive currents, it is possible to show that $[\eta]$ is represented by a positive, closed $(1,1)$ -current η (Claim 3.2). Locally, η can be considered as a curvature of a singular metric on a line bundle.

Using a local dd^c -lemma, we may assume that $\eta = dd^c\varphi$, for some function φ , which is plurisubharmonic, because η is positive. Then η is a curvature of a trivial bundle with a singular metric $h \rightarrow e^{-2\varphi}|h|^2$.

A **multiplier ideal sheaf** $\mathcal{I}(\eta)$ of a current η is an ideal of all holomorphic functions h on M for which $e^{-2\varphi}|h|^2$ is locally integrable. Nadel has shown that a multiplier ideal sheaf of a positive current is always coherent.

The notion of a multiplier ideal has many applications in algebraic geometry, due to the Nadel's vanishing theorem.

Theorem 1.22: (Nadel's Vanishing Theorem; see [N], [D2]). Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold, η a closed, positive $(1,1)$ -current, $\eta > \varepsilon\omega$, and L a holomorphic line bundle with $c_1(L) = [\eta]$. Consider a singular metric on L associated with η , and let $\mathcal{I}(L)$ be the sheaf of L^2 -integrable sections. Then $H^i(\mathcal{I}(L) \otimes K_M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. ■

The Lelong number $\nu_x(\Theta)$ of a (p,p) -current Θ at $x \in M$, as defined in [D5], is a mass of a measure $\Theta \wedge \mu_x^{n-p}$ carried at x , where $\mu_x = dd^c(\log \text{dist}_x^2)$, and dist_x^2 is a square of a distance from x . The current μ_x can be approximated by smooth, closed, positive currents using a regularized maximum function (see Subsection 3.2), and this allows one to define the product $\Theta \wedge \mu_x^{n-p}$ as a limit of closed, positive currents with bounded mass, well defined because of a weak compactness principle.

For a positive number $c > 0$, the Lelong set F_c of a $(1,1)$ -current η is a set of all points $x \in M$ with $\nu_x(\eta) \geq c$. By Siu's theorem ([Si]), a Lelong set of a positive, closed current is complex analytic.

The following theorem was proven in [V5], using an advanced version of Nadel's vanishing, due to [DPS2].

Theorem 1.23: ([V5, Theorem 4.1]) Let L be a parabolic nef bundle on a hyperkähler manifold, and η a positive closed current, representing $c_1(L)$. Assume that all Lelong numbers of η vanish. Then L is $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective. ■

In the present paper, we show that all Lelong sets of a parabolic nef current on a hyperkähler manifold are coisotropic with respect to its holomorphic symplectic form (Corollary 3.15).

Comparing Corollary 3.15 and Theorem 1.23, we obtain the following. Let L be a parabolic nef bundle on a hyperkähler manifold M . Then either L is $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective, or M has non-trivial coisotropic subvarieties. A similar result was proven in by Campana-Oguiso-Peternell, who have shown that such a manifold always contains a subvariety of dimension ≥ 2 ([COP, Theorem 6.2]).

For a generic hyperkähler manifold, all complex subvarieties are holomorphically symplectic ([V1], [V2]). Therefore, such a manifold does not have any coisotropic subvarieties (Remark 2.13). This implies that all Lelong numbers of a parabolic nef current on a generic hyperkähler manifold vanish (Corollary 3.14).

2 Hyperkähler geometry: preliminary results

2.1 The structure of a Kähler cone

Definition 2.1: A class $\eta \in H^{1,1}(M)$ is called **pseudoeffective** if it can be represented by a positive current, and **nef** if it lies in a closure of a Kähler cone.

The following useful theorem, due to S. Boucksom, is known as **the divisorial Zariski decomposition theorem**.

Theorem 2.2: ([Bou]) Let M be a hyperkähler manifold. Then every pseudoeffective class can be decomposed as a sum

$$\eta = \nu + \sum_i a_i E_i,$$

where ν is nef, a_i positive numbers, and E_i exceptional divisors satisfying $q(E_i, E_i) < 0$. ■

Remark 2.3: Let M_1, M_2 be holomorphic symplectic manifolds, bimeromorphically equivalent. Then $H^2(M_1)$ is naturally isomorphic to $H^2(M_2)$, and this isomorphism is compatible with Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form. Indeed, the manifolds M_i have trivial canonical bundle, hence a bimeromorphic equivalence is non-singular in codimension 1.

Definition 2.4: A **modified nef cone** (also “birational nef cone” and “movable nef cone”) is a closure of a union of all nef cones for all bimeromorphic models of a holomorphically symplectic manifold M .

Theorem 2.5: ([Bou], [Hu2]). On a hyperkähler manifold, the modified nef cone is dual to the pseudoeffective cone under the Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki pairing. ■

Corollary 2.6: Let M be a simple hyperkähler manifold such that all integer $(1, 1)$ -classes satisfy $q(\nu, \nu) \geq 0$. Then its Kähler cone is one of two components K_+ of a set $K := \{\nu \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid q(\nu, \nu) > 0\}$.

Proof: The pseudoeffective cone K_{ps} of M is equal to the nef cone K_n by the divisorial Zariski decomposition. A square of a Kähler form is positive, hence $K_n = K_{ps}$ is contained in one of components of K , denoted by K_+ . This gives inclusions

$$K_{ps} = K_n \subset K_{mn} \subset K_+ \quad (2.1)$$

Since K_+ is self-dual, dualising (2.1) gives

$$K_+ \subset K_{ps} \subset K_{mn} = K_n^* \quad (2.2)$$

However, all elements of K_{mn} satisfy $q(\eta, \eta) \geq 0$, hence $K_{mn} \subset K_+$. Then (2.2) gives

$$K_+ \subset K_{ps} \subset K_{mn} = K_n^* \subset K_+,$$

and all these cones are equal. ■

Remark 2.7: From the Hodge index theorem, it follows immediately that the condition

$$\forall \eta \in \text{Pic}(M) \quad q(\eta, \eta) \geq 0$$

implies that $\text{Pic}(M)$ has rank 1.

Remark 2.8: From Corollary 2.6 it follows that on a hyperkähler manifold with $\text{Pic}(M) = \mathbb{Z}$, for any rational class $\eta \in H^{1,1}(M)$ with $q(\eta, \eta) \geq 0$ either η or $-\eta$ is nef.

2.2 Subvarieties in generic hyperkähler manifolds

This is a brief introduction to the theory of subvarieties in generic hyperkähler manifolds. For more details and missing reference, please see [V2] and [V3].

Recall now that any Kähler manifold with trivial canonical class admits a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric in a given Kähler class ($[Y]$). Using Bochner's vanishing, it is possible to show that any holomorphic form on a compact Ricci-flat manifold is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

If the manifold M is holomorphically symplectic, a Ricci-flat metric together with the holomorphic symplectic form can be used to construct a triple of complex structures (I, J, K) satisfying quaternionic relations $I \circ J = -J \circ I = K$, and parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. In differential geometry and physics, hyperkähler manifolds are usually defined in terms of this quaternionic structure ([Bes]).

Consider an operator $L = aI + bJ + cK$, with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$. Since I, J, K are parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, L is also parallel. Using the quaternionic relations, we obtain $L^2 = -1$. Since L is parallel, it is an integrable complex structure. Such a complex structure is called **induced by the quaternionic action**. The set of induced complex structures is parametrized by the 2-dimensional sphere S^2 . It is easy to check that this gives a holomorphic family of complex structures on M over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. The total space of this family is called **the twistor space** of M . Denote the base of the twistor family by C , $C \cong \mathbb{C}P^1$.

The group $SU(2)$ of unitary quaternions acts on TM . We extend this action to the bundle Λ^*M of differential forms by multiplicativity. This action is parallel, hence it commutes with the Laplacian. This gives a natural $SU(2)$ -action on $H^*(M)$, analogous to the Hodge decomposition in Kähler geometry.

Given a class $v \in H^{2p}(M)$ which is not $SU(2)$ -invariant, let $S_v \subset C$ be the set of all induced complex structures $L \in C$ for which $v \in H^{p,p}(M)$. For an $SU(2)$ -class, we set $S_v = \emptyset$. Since the Hodge decomposition on (M, L) is induced by the $SU(2)$ -action, S_v can be expressed through the action of $SU(2)$. Then it is easy to check that S_v is finite, for all v .

The union $R := \bigcup_{v \in H^*(M, \mathbb{Z})} S_v$ is countable. Clearly, for any induced complex structure $L \notin R$,

$$v \in H^{p,p}(M) \cap H^{2p}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow v \text{ is } SU(2)\text{-invariant.}$$

Definition 2.9: An induced complex structure L is called **generic** if $L \notin R$.

As shown in [V1], a closed complex subvariety $X \subset M$ with fundamental class $[X] \in H^{2p}(M)$ $SU(2)$ -invariant is necessarily holomorphically symplectic outside of its singularities.

Theorem 2.10: ([V1]) Let (M, I, J, K) be a hyperkähler manifold equipped with a quaternionic structure, and L a generic induced complex structure. Then all complex subvarieties $X \subset (M, L)$ are holomorphically symplectic outside of singularities. ■

Remark 2.11: In [V3] it was also shown that a normalization of X is smooth and holomorphically symplectic.

Definition 2.12: A hyperkähler manifold (M, I) is **generic** if I is generic for some quaternionic structure constructed as above.

Remark 2.13: Let M be a generic hyperkähler manifold. Then all complex subvarieties of M are holomorphically symplectic, by Theorem 2.10. In particular, M has no divisors.

2.3 Cohomology of hyperkähler manifolds

In the sequel, some basic results about cohomology of hyperkähler manifolds will be used. The following theorem was proving in [V4], using representation theory.

Theorem 2.14: ([V4]) Let M be a simple hyperkähler manifold, and $H_r^*(M)$ the part of cohomology generated by $H^2(M)$. Then $H_r^*(M)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra (up to the middle degree). Moreover, the Poincaré pairing on $H_r^*(M)$ is non-degenerate. ■

This brings the following corollary.

Corollary 2.15: Let $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n+1} \in H^2(M)$ be cohomology classes on a simple hyperkähler manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 2n$. Suppose that $q(\eta_i, \eta_j) = 0$ for all i, j . Then $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{n+1} = 0$.

Proof: Let $H := \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{n+1}$. From the Fujiki's formula (1.2) it follows directly that

$$H \wedge \rho_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \rho_{n-1} = 0,$$

for any cohomology classes $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \in H^2(M)$. Therefore, for any $v \in H_r^{2n-2}(M)$, $H \wedge v = 0$. Since the Poincaré form is non-degenerate on $H_r^{2n-2}(M)$ (Theorem 2.14), this implies that $H = 0$. ■

3 Cohomology classes dominated by a nef class

3.1 Positive forms and positive currents

In this Subsection, we recall standard notions of positivity for (p, p) -forms and currents. A reader may consult [D5] for more details.

Recall that a real (p, p) -form η on a complex manifold is called **weakly positive** if for any complex subspace $V \subset TM$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = p$, the restriction $\rho|_V$ is a non-negative volume form. Equivalently, this means that

$$(\sqrt{-1})^p \rho(x_1, \bar{x}_1, x_2, \bar{x}_2, \dots, x_p, \bar{x}_p) \geq 0,$$

for any vectors $x_1, \dots, x_p \in T_x^{1,0} M$. A form is called **strongly positive** if it can be expressed as a sum

$$\eta = (-\sqrt{-1})^p \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \xi_{i_1} \wedge \bar{\xi}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_p} \wedge \bar{\xi}_{i_p},$$

running over some set of p -tuples $\xi_{i_1}, \xi_{i_2}, \dots, \xi_{i_p} \in \Lambda^{1,0}(M)$, with $\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ real and non-negative functions on M .

The strongly positive and the weakly positive forms form closed, convex cones in the space $\Lambda^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{R})$ of real (p, p) -forms. These two cones are dual with respect to the Poincare pairing

$$\Lambda^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{R}) \times \Lambda^{n-p, n-p}(M, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n,n}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

For $(1,1)$ -forms and $(n-1, n-1)$ -forms, the strong positivity is equivalent to weak positivity.

Remark 3.1: A strongly positive form is a linear combination of products

$$\alpha(\sqrt{-1})^p z_{i_1} \wedge \bar{z}_{i_1} \wedge z_{i_2} \wedge \bar{z}_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge z_{i_k} \wedge \bar{z}_{i_k}$$

where α is a smooth, positive function, and $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Lambda^{1,0}(M)$ is a basis in $(0,1)$ forms. In the sequel, we shall abbreviate such a form as $\alpha(z \wedge \bar{z})_I$, where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is a multiindex.

A **current** is a form taking values in distributions. The space of (p, q) -currents on M is denoted by $D^{p,q}(M)$. A **strongly positive current**¹ is a linear combination

$$\sum_I \alpha_I (z \wedge \bar{z})_I$$

¹In the present paper, we shall often omit “strongly”, because we are only interested in strong positivity.

where α_I are positive, measurable functions, and the sum is taken over all multi-indices I . An integration current of a closed complex subvariety is a strongly positive current.

Notice that “strongly positive” should not be confused with “strictly positive” (the latter means that a class belongs to the inner part of a positive cone). For instance, 0 is a strongly positive current.

Positivity of a current ν is often expressed as $\nu \geq 0$. If $\nu_1 - \nu_2$ is positive, one often writes $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2$.

It is easy to define the de Rham differential on currents, and check that its cohomology coincide with the de Rham cohomology of a manifold.

A **mass** of a positive (p, p) -current ρ on a compact n -dimensional Kähler manifold (M, ω) is a number $\int_M \rho \wedge \omega^{n-p}$. This number is non-negative, and never vanishes, unless $\rho = 0$.

Claim 3.2: (“weak compactness of positive currents”) Let $\{\eta_i\}$ be a sequence of positive (p, p) -currents with bounded mass. Then $\{\eta_i\}$ has a subsequence converging to a positive current, in weak topology. ■

The de Rham differential is by definition continuous in the topology of currents, and the projection from closed currents to the de Rham cohomology also continuous. Then, weak compactness implies the following useful result.

Corollary 3.3: Let $\eta_i \in H^{p,p}(M)$ be a sequence of cohomology classes represented by closed, positive currents, and η its limit. Then η also can be represented by a closed, positive current. ■

Definition 3.4: A **nef current** is a positive, closed current, obtained as a weak limit of strongly positive, closed forms.

Definition 3.5: Let η, η' be nef currents. Choose sequences $\{\eta_i\}, \{\eta'_i\}$ of closed, strongly positive forms converging to η, η' . Then $\{\eta_i \wedge \eta'_i\}$ is a bounded sequence of closed, strongly positive forms. From weak compactness it follows that $\{\eta_i \wedge \eta'_i\}$ has a limit. We define a **product** $\eta \wedge \eta'$ of nef currents as a form which can be obtained as a limit of $\{\eta_i \wedge \eta'_i\}$, for some choice of sequences $\{\eta_i\}, \{\eta'_i\}$. The limit $\{\eta_i \wedge \eta'_i\}$ is non-unique (see the example below). However, it is a closed, positive current, which represents the product of the corresponding cohomology classes.

Example 3.6: Let $M = \mathbb{C}P^2$. Given a hyperplane H , we choose a sequence of positive, closed (1,1)-forms $\eta_i(H)$ converging to a current of integration $[H]$ of H . Suppose that the absolute value of $\eta_i(H)$ is bounded everywhere by C_i , and the mass of $[H] - \eta_i(H)$ is bounded by ε_i . Let α be a positive (1,1)-current. Then the mass of $([H] - \eta_i(H)) \wedge \alpha$ is bounded by $\varepsilon_i \sup |\alpha|$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \left| ([H] - \eta_i(H)) \wedge \alpha \right| \leq \varepsilon_i \sup |\alpha| \quad (3.1)$$

Let now H, H' be two distinct hyperplanes, and $\eta_i(H), \eta_i(H')$ the sequences of positive, closed forms approximating H, H' as above. Then (3.1) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \left| ([H] - \eta_i(H)) \wedge \eta_j(H') \right| \leq \varepsilon_i C_j. \quad (3.2)$$

Choosing a sequence i_k, j_k in such a way that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{i_k} C_{j_k} = 0$, and applying (3.2), we obtain that the sequence $\eta_{i_k}(H) \wedge \eta_{j_k}(H')$ has the same limit as $\lim [H] \wedge \eta_j(H') = [p]$, where $p = H \cap H'$ is a point where H and H' intersect. Given a sequence H_l of planes converging to H , with $H_l \cap H = p$, and applying the same argument, we obtain a sequence $\eta_{i_k}(H) \wedge \eta_{j_k}(H_k)$ converging to $[p]$. However, $\eta_{j_k}(H_k)$, for appropriate choice of an approximating sequence, clearly converges to H . This gives a sequence of closed, positive forms η_i, η'_i converging to $[H]$, and the product $\eta_i \wedge \eta'_i$ converges to the current of integration $[p]$, associated with an arbitrary point $p \in H$.

3.2 Regularization for nef currents

In [D1], the notion of a *regularized maximum* of two functions was defined. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $\max_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth, convex function which is monotonous in both arguments and satisfies $\max_\varepsilon(x, y) = \max(x, y)$ whenever $|x - y| > \varepsilon$. Then $\max_\varepsilon$ is called a **regularized maximum**. It is easy to show ([D1]) that a regularized maximum of two strictly plurisubharmonic functions is again strictly plurisubharmonic. Moreover, for any smooth form A and L^1 -functions x, y which satisfy $A + dd^c x \geq 0$ and $A + dd^c y \geq 0$, one would have $A + dd^c \max_\varepsilon(x, y) \geq 0$.

Recall that an **almost plurisubharmonic function** is a generalized function f which satisfies $dd^c f + A \geq 0$ for some smooth (1,1)-form A . Clearly, almost plurisubharmonic functions are locally integrable.

The Demailly's Regularization Theorem ([D3], Theorem 1.1, [D5], 21.3) implies that any positive, closed $(1,1)$ -current T on a Kähler manifold (M, ω) can be weakly approximated by a sequence T_k of closed, real $(1,1)$ -currents in the same cohomology class satisfying the following assumptions

- (i) $T_k + \delta_k \omega \geq 0$, where $\{\delta_k\}$ is a sequence of real numbers converging to 0.
- (ii) T_k are smooth outside of a complex analytic subset $Z_k \subset M$, with $Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \dots$
- (iii) Let T_0 be a smooth form cohomologous to T . Then $T_k = T_0 + dd^c \psi_k$, where ψ_k is a non-increasing sequence of almost plurisubharmonic functions converging to an almost plurisubharmonic ψ , which satisfies $dd^c \psi + T_0 = T$.
- (iv) Locally around Z_k , the functions ψ_k have logarithmic poles, namely

$$\psi_k = \lambda_k \log \sum |g_{k,l}|^2 + \tau_k,$$

where $g_{k,l}$ are holomorphic functions vanishing on Z_k , and τ_k is smooth.

- (v) The Lelong numbers $\nu(T_k, x)$ of T_k are non-decreasing in k for any $x \in M$ and converge to $\nu(T, x)$.

Claim 3.7: Let $T = \eta$ be a nef $(1,1)$ -current. Then the corresponding approximation currents $T_k + \delta_k \omega$ of the Demailly's regularization procedure can be also chosen nef.

Proof: Let T_0 be a smooth, closed form cohomologous to η . Then $\eta = T_0 + dd^c \psi$, where $\psi = \lim_{\downarrow} \psi_k$. Let ν_i be a sequence of smooth functions such that the form $T_0 + dd^c \nu_i + \varepsilon_i \omega$ is positive, closed, and weakly converges to $\eta = T_0 + dd^c \psi$, for ε_i a sequence of real numbers converging to 0. Such $\{\nu_i\}$ exists, because η is nef. Indeed, there exists a sequence of smooth, positive forms η_i converging to η , with the cohomology class $[\eta_i] = [\eta] + [\alpha_i]$, where $[\alpha_i] \in H^{1,1}(M)$ converging to 0. Choose smooth, closed representatives α_i with $\lim_i (\sup |\alpha_i|) = 0$, and set $\varepsilon_i = \sup |\alpha_i|$. Then $\varepsilon_i \omega_i + \alpha_i$ is positive. Choose now ν_i in such a way that $\eta_i = dd^c \nu_i + \alpha_i + T_0$. Then $dd^c \nu_i + T_0 + \varepsilon_i \omega > dd^c \nu_i + \alpha_i + T_0$, hence positive.

Adding constant terms if necessary, we may assume that $\lim \nu_i = \psi$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$. The function $\mu_i(k) := \max_{\varepsilon}(\nu_i, \psi_k)$ is smooth, because ψ_k is smooth outside of its poles. The limit $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(k)$ is equals to $\max_{\varepsilon}(\psi, \psi_k) = \psi_k$ (the last equation holds because $\psi \leq \psi_k$). Therefore, $\mu_i(k)$ converges to

ψ_k . On the other hand, $T_0 + dd^c \nu_i + \varepsilon_i \omega$ is positive, and $T_0 + dd^c \psi_k + \delta_k \omega$ is positive by approximation property. From the properties of a regularized maximum it follows that $T_0 + (\delta_k + \varepsilon_k) \omega + dd^c \mu_i(k)$ is also positive. We proved that the current $T_k + (\delta_k + \varepsilon_k) \omega = T_0 + dd^c \psi_k + (\delta_k + \varepsilon_k) \omega$ is nef. ■

3.3 Cohomology classes dominated by a nef current

Definition 3.8: Let M be a compact Kähler manifold, η a nef current, obtained as a limit of positive, closed forms η_i , and η^p a limit of η_i^p , which exists by weak compactness. A current ν is called **dominated by η** if $C\eta^p + \nu$ and $C\eta^p - \nu$ are strongly positive, for a sufficiently big $C > 0$.

For an example of a current dominated by a nef current η , we look at the Lelong sets of η . From Demailly's regularization and the Siu's decomposition theorem ([Si], [D5]), the following result can be easily deduced.

Theorem 3.9: Let η be a nef current, and Z a p -dimensional irreducible component of its Lelong set F_c . Denote by $[Z]$ its integration current. Then $[Z]$ is dominated by η , and moreover, $\eta^p - c^p[Z]$ is positive.

Proof: By Siu's theorem ([Si], [D5, 2.10]), it follows immediately that the Lelong sets of η^p have dimension $\geq p$. By Siu's decomposition formula ([D5, 2.18]), η^p can be written as

$$\eta^p = \sum_i c_i [Z_i] + R,$$

where R is a positive, closed current, Z_i are all p -dimensional components of the Lelong set of Θ , and $c_i = \nu_x(\Theta)$ for a generic point $x \in Z_i$. Therefore, to prove Theorem 3.9, it suffices to show that

$$\nu_x(\eta^p) \geq \nu_x(\eta)^p \quad (3.3)$$

at a generic point of Z , where Z is an irreducible p -dimensional component of the Lelong set of η .

Using the regularization theorem, Claim 3.7 and semicontinuity of Lelong numbers, we find that it suffices to prove inequality (3.3) for the nef currents with logarithmic singularities approximating η . Therefore, we may assume that the singularities of η are logarithmic. Since the equality (3.3) is local, we can also assume that $\eta = dd^c \varphi$, for some plurisubharmonic function φ with logarithmic singularities.

Locally around a generic point of Z , we have $F_c(\eta) = Z$. Therefore η must have a logarithmic pole of order $\geq c$ at Z . Splitting the poles onto a part corresponding to Z and the rest, we can write η as $\eta = dd^c\varphi$, where $\varphi = u + v + A$, $u = c \log \sum |g_i|^2$, $v = c' \log \sum |f_i|^2$, with $\{f_i\}$ a finite set of holomorphic functions, g_i generators of the ideal of Z , $c' < c$, and A smooth. Consider a sequence ν_i of smooth plurisubharmonic functions converging to φ (such a sequence exists, because η is nef). Then $\mu_i := u + \max_\varepsilon(v, \nu_i - C_i) + A$ converges to φ , for an appropriate choice of a sequence $C_i \gg 0$. Moreover, the limit $\lim (dd^c\mu_i)^p$ is by construction equal to $\lim (dd^c\nu_i)^p$, hence we may assume that $\lim (dd^c\mu_i)^p = \eta^p$. Clearly, $\nu_x((dd^c\mu_i)^p) = c^p$. Then

$$\nu_x(\eta^p) = \nu_x((dd^c\varphi)^p) \geq \nu_x((dd^c\mu_i)^p) = \nu_x((dd^c u)^p) = c^p.$$

by semicontinuity. We proved (3.3). ■

3.4 η -coisotropic subvarieties and cohomology classes

Definition 3.10: Let M be a hyperkähler manifold, $[\eta] \in H^{1,1}(M)$ a parabolic nef class on M , and η a nef current representing $[\eta]$. We say that a subvariety $Z \subset M$ is $[\eta]$ -**coisotropic** if η dominates the current of integration $[Z]$.

Definition 3.11: Let (M, Ω) be a holomorphically symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z = 2n$, and $Z \subset M$ a complex subvariety of codimension $p \leq n$. Then Z is called **coisotropic** if the restriction $\Omega^{n-p+1}|_Z$ vanishes on all smooth points of Z .

Remark 3.12: This is equivalent to Ω having rank $\leq n - p$ on TZ in the smooth points of Z , which is the minimal possible rank for a $2n - p$ -dimensional subspace in a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic space.

Proposition 3.13: Let M be a hyperkähler manifold, $[\eta] \in H^{1,1}(M)$ a parabolic nef class on M , and $Z \subset M$ an $[\eta]$ -coisotropic subvariety of complex codimension p . Then

- (i) $p \leq n$,
- (ii) Z is coisotropic with respect to a holomorphic symplectic form on M , and
- (iii) $[\eta]^{n-p+1}|_Z = 0$.

Proof: Since $[\eta]$ is nef, we may choose a representative nef current η , which is a limit of positive, closed forms $\{\eta_i\}$. Choose this sequence in such a way that η_i^k converges for all $k > 0$, and denote the respective limits by η^k .

The current η^{n+1} is by definition positive, and cohomologous to 0, because $[\eta]^{n+1} = 0$ (Corollary 2.15). The domination of Z by η means that $\eta^p - c[Z]$ is strongly positive, for some $c > 0$. Since $\eta^{n+1} = 0$, $\eta^p - c[Z] \geq 0$ implies that

$$0 = \eta^{n+1} = \eta^p \wedge \eta^{n-p+1} \geq [Z] \wedge \eta^{n-p+1} \quad (3.4)$$

Choosing a subsequence in η_i if necessary, we may assume that the restriction $\eta_i^{n-p+1}|_Z$ converges to a positive current. Then (3.4) gives that $\eta_i^{n-p+1}|_Z = [Z] \wedge \eta_i^{n-p+1}$ vanishes everywhere. This proves Proposition 3.13 (i) and (iii).

Let Ω be a holomorphic symplectic form on M . It is easy to check that $\Omega^i \wedge \overline{\Omega}^i$ is weakly positive. A product of a strongly positive current and a weakly positive form is weakly positive, hence the product $\eta^p \wedge \Omega^{n-p+1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-p+1}$ is positive. However, this product is cohomologous to 0, as follows from Corollary 2.15, and therefore

$$\eta^p \wedge \Omega^{n-p+1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-p+1} = 0$$

Using the same argument as above, we obtain

$$0 = \eta^p \wedge \Omega^{n-p+1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-p+1} \geq [Z] \wedge \Omega^{n-p+1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-p+1}, \quad (3.5)$$

hence $\Omega^{n-p+1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-p+1}$ vanishes on Z . Using Remark 3.12, we obtain that this is equivalent to Z being coisotropic. We proved Proposition 3.13 (ii). ■

As follows from Remark 2.13, on a generic hyperkähler manifold M , all complex subvarieties are holomorphically symplectic. Then M does not have non-trivial coisotropic subvarieties. This gives

Corollary 3.14: Let M be a generic hyperkähler manifold, and $[\eta] \in H^{1,1}(M)$ a parabolic nef class, represented by a positive current η . Then all Lelong numbers of η vanish. ■

Comparing Proposition 3.13, Theorem 3.9, and Theorem 1.23, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.15: Let L be a parabolic line bundle on a hyperkähler manifold, equipped with a singular metric with positive curvature current η ,

which is nef, and Z a component of its Lelong set. Then Z is η -coisotropic. In particular, $\dim Z \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim M$, and Z is coisotropic with respect to the standard holomorphic symplectic structure on M . Moreover, either $c_1(L)$ is represented by a rational divisor, or the Lelong sets of L are non-empty. ■

Comparing this with Remark 2.8, we obtain

Corollary 3.16: Let M be a hyperkähler manifold with $\text{Pic}(M) = \mathbb{Z}$, and L a line bundle generating $\text{Pic}(M)$. Assume that $q(L, L) = 0$. Then $c_1(M)$ can be represented by a divisor, or M has non-trivial coisotropic subvarieties. ■

Remark 3.17: Since all divisors are coisotropic, the first alternative in the Corollary above in fact implies the second one.

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to S. Boucksom, J.-P. Demailly, D. Kaledin, A. Kuznetsov and M. Paun for many valuable discussions. Many thanks to Tony Pantev for a useful e-mail exchange. An early version of this paper was used as a source of a mini-series of lectures at a conference “Holomorphically symplectic varieties and moduli spaces”, in Lille, June 2-6, 2009. I am grateful to the organizers for this opportunity and to the participants for their insight and many useful comments.

References

- [Bea] Beauville, A. *Varieties Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*. J. Diff. Geom. **18**, pp. 755-782 (1983).
- [Bes] Besse, A., *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1987)
- [Bo1] Bogomolov, F. A., *On the decomposition of Kähler manifolds with trivial canonical class*, Math. USSR-Sb. **22** (1974), 580-583.
- [Bo2] F. Bogomolov, *Hamiltonian Kähler manifolds*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **19** (1978), 1462–1465.
- [Bou] Boucksom, S., *Higher dimensional Zariski decompositions*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 1, 45–76, arXiv:math/0204336
- [COP] Frederic Campana, Keiji Oguiso, Thomas Peternell, *Non-algebraic hyperkähler manifolds*, arXiv:0804.1682, 18 pages.
- [D1] Demailly, J.-P., *Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4e Sér. **15** (1982) 457–511.
- [D2] Demailly, J.-P., *Une généralisation du théorème d'annulation de Kawamata-Viehweg*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **309** (1989), 123–126.

- [D3] Demailly, Jean-Pierre, *Regularization of closed positive currents and Intersection Theory*, J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992) 361-409
- [D4] Demailly, Jean-Pierre, *Regularization of closed positive currents of type $(1,1)$ by the flow of a Chern connection*, Actes du Colloque en l'honneur de P. Dolbeault (Juin 1992), Aspects of Mathematics, Vol. E 26, Vieweg, (1994) 105-126
- [D5] Demailly, Jean-Pierre, *Analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, Lecture Notes, École d'été de Mathématiques de Grenoble "Géométrie des variétés projectives complexes : programme du modèle minimal" (June-July 2007)
- [DP] Demailly, J.-P., Paun, M., *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold*, math.AG/0105176 also in Annals of Mathematics, **159** (2004), 1247-1274.
- [DPS1] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Thomas Peternell, Michael Schneider, *Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles*, J. Algebraic Geometry **3** (1994) 295-345
- [DPS2] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Thomas Peternell, Michael Schneider, *Pseudo-effective line bundles on compact Kähler manifolds*, International Journal of Math. **6** (2001), pp. 689-741.
- [F] Fujiki, A. *On the de Rham Cohomology Group of a Compact Kähler Symplectic Manifold*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10** (1987), 105-165.
- [G] Mark Gross, *The Strominger-Yau-Zaslow conjecture: From torus fibrations to degenerations*, arXiv:0802.3407, 44 pages.
- [HL] R. Harvey, B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **148** (1982), 47-157.
- [Hu1] Huybrechts, Daniel, *Compact hyperkähler manifolds, Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, Lectures from the Summer School held in Nordfjordeid, June 2001, pp. 161-225.
- [Hu2] Huybrechts, Daniel, *The Kähler cone of a compact hyperkähler manifold*, Math. Ann. **326** (2003), no. 3, 499-513, arXiv:math/9909109.
- [Hw] Jun-Muk Hwang, *Base manifolds for fibrations of projective irreducible symplectic manifolds*, arXiv:0711.3224, Inventiones mathematicae, vol. 174, issue 3, pp. 625-644.
- [KV] Verbitsky M., *Hyperholomorphic sheaves and new examples of hyperkähler manifolds*, alg-geom/9712012, a chapter in a book "Hyperkähler manifolds" (joint with D. Kaledin), Int'l Press, Boston, 2001.
- [KZ] Maxim Kontsevich, Yan Soibelman, *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations*, arXiv:math/0011041, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001, pp. 203-263.
- [McL] McLean, R.C. *Deformations of calibrated submanifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6**, (1998), 705-747.
- [Ma1] D. Matsushita, *On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold*, alg-geom/9709033, math.AG/9903045, also in Topology **38** (1999), No. 1, 79-83. Addendum, Topology **40** (2001), No. 2, 431-432.
- [Ma2] Matsushita, D., *Higher direct images of Lagrangian fibrations*, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), arXiv:math/0010283.

- [N] A. M. Nadel. *Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 86 (1989), 7299–7300 and Annals of Math., 132 (1990), 549–596.
- [Saw] Sawon, J. *Abelian fibred holomorphic symplectic manifolds*, Turkish Jour. Math. 27 (2003), no. 1, 197–230, math.AG/0404362.
- [Si] Y.T. Siu., *Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents*, Invent. Math., 27 (1974), 53–156.
- [SYZ] A. Strominger, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *Mirror Symmetry is T -duality*, Nucl. Phys. B479, (1996) 243–259.
- [V1] Verbitsky M., *Hyperkähler and holomorphic symplectic geometry I*, Journ. of Alg. Geom., 5 no. 3 (1996) pp. 401–415, also in alg-geom/9307009
- [V2] Verbitsky M., *Hyperkähler embeddings and holomorphic symplectic geometry II*, GAFA 5 no. 1 (1995), 92–104, also in alg-geom/9403006
- [V3] Verbitsky, M., *Hypercomplex Varieties*, alg-geom/9703016, Comm. Anal. Geom. 7 (1999), no. 2, 355–396.
- [V4] Verbitsky, M., *Cohomology of compact hyperkähler manifolds*. alg-geom electronic preprint 9501001, 89 pages, LaTeX.
- [V5] Verbitsky, M., *Hyperkahler SYZ conjecture and semipositive line bundles*, arXiv:0811.0639, 21 pages, to appear in GAFA.
- [Y] S. T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation I*. Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math. 31, 339–411 (1978).

MISHA VERBITSKY
 INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS
 B. CHEREMUSHKINSKAYA, 25, MOSCOW, 117259, RUSSIA
 verbit@mccme.ru